

DUNLAP INSTITUTE for ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS

Diffuse Extragalactic Synchrotron Emission and the Radio Background

Tessa Vernstrom

Richmond, VA 2017

Collaborators: Bryan Gaensler, Ray Norris, Douglas Scott, Jasper Wall

> Dunlap Institute for Astronomy & Astrophysics UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

The Cosmic Radio Background



2

The Cosmic Radio Background



Point source emission:

- Starburst/star-forming galaxies
- Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN)
- Other: spirals, ellipticals, etc.



Low surface brightness emission:

- Galactic Halos
 - Starburs**6**
 - AGN
- Cluster Emission
 - Giant/mini radio halos
 - Radio relics
 - Intra-cluster medium
- Cosmic web/Large Scale Structure





3

The Cosmic Radio Background – Why Study It?

The basics:

- How much radio emission is there?
- Where is it coming from?
 - Individual galaxies, clusters, filaments ...?
- How many of these types of sources are there? How bright are they?
- How clustered is the emission? In total and at different times?

These answers can then be used to investigate:

- Cosmic magnetism
- Galaxy evolution
- Large scale structure formation and evolution
- Other (e.g. dark matter)

ARCADE 2

- Balloon experiment measuring absolute temperature at multiple frequencies (Fixsen et. al, 2009)
- Reported value of $T_b = 55$ mk at 3.3 GHz

→much higher than estimates for extragalactic component

- What could be causing the discrepancy?
 - New faint source population in submicroJy region
 - Diffuse emission in clusters or the cosmic web
 - Dark matter annihilation in galactic haloes
 - FRBs



DUNLAP INSTITUTE for **ASTRONOMY** & **ASTROPHYSICS**





5

Diffuse Emission Clusters









PHOENIX

HALO



GIANT RELIC

TAILED AGN

TAILED AGN/ PHOENIX

TAILED AGN/PHOENIX

PHOENIX/RELIC

HALO

Abell 2256 (z=0.05)

Radio Halos

- Giant and mini halos
- Mpc sizes, centrally located
- Unpolarized
- $L_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} \sim 10^{24} 10^{25} \text{ W/Hz}$
 - Radio luminosity scales with cluster mass
- Found in disturbed clusters
- Diffuse, low surface brightness
- Steep spectrum $\alpha \sim -1.2$
 - Can have curved spectra
 - Steepening with radial distance
- Morphology similar to X-ray or SZ emission
 - No severe projection bias
- Particle acceleration mechanisms:
 - Turbulent reacceleration
 - Secondary electrons: products of hadronic collisions







Radio Relics

- Elongated or filamentary morphology
- Near cluster periphery
- Higher surface brightness
- Polarized
- $L_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} \sim 10^{23} 10^{25} \text{ W/Hz}$
- Also steep spectrum $\alpha \sim -1.2$
- Traces shocks
 - Subject to projection bias
- Particle acceleration mechanisms:
 - Diffusive shock acceleration
 - Shock re-acceleration
 - Adiabatic compression





Double Relics

DUNLAP INSTITUTE for ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS

F TORONTO 9

Diffuse Emission

- Diffuse emission in clusters
 - Halos
 - Mini-halos
 - Relics
 - But only ~100-150 detected (more coming now from low frequency surveys)
- Only bright sources (>1mJy) in high(er) mass clusters detected.





Ferretti et al., 2012



Diffuse Emission

- Diffuse emission in clusters
 - Halos
 - Mini-halos
 - Relics
 - But only ~100-150 detected (more coming now from low frequency surveys)
- Only bright sources (>1mJy) in high(er) mass clusters detected.
- Difficult to directly detect due to:
 - low surface brightness
 - Requires high sensitivity to large angul scales
 - Sizes up to Mpc scales
 - Difficult for radio interferometer
 - Bright Galactic foregrounds
 - Bright point sources
 - Faint point source confusion





What is Confusion?

Confusion is the blending of faint sources within a telescope beam



Dunlap Institute for
 Astronomy & Astrophysics

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Confusion: Friend or Foe?

- Simulated Gaussian "Halo" •
 - 60" size •
 - 5 mJy total brightness •
 - 45" beam •
 - Addition of brighter and brighter point sources •
 - None brighter than 1mJy •



Dunlap Institute for Astronomy & Astrophysics

0.00

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

13

Confusion Analysis

- Confusion is the blending of faint sources within a telescope beam
- PDF of image pixel histogram from confusion known as P(D)
- Confusion noise, σ_c (width of P(D))
 - → governed by beam and source count



Why Confusion Limited?

 $\sigma_t^2 = \sigma_n^2 \sigma_c^2$

For faint counts want $\sigma_c > \sigma_n$



DUNLAP INSTITUTE for ASTRONOMY & ASTROPh ניסיס Dunlap Institute for
 Astronomy & Astrophysics
 UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

How? Probability of Deflection

- Fitting of Image histogram → statistical estimate of source counts as faint as ~ o_c
- Input
 - Source count model
 - Pixel size, beam shape
 - Instrumental noise
- Mean density of observed flux

 $R(x) \ dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{dN}{dS} \left(\frac{x}{b}\right) b^{-1} d\Omega \, dx$

$$P(D) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\exp\left(\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}R(x)\,e^{iwx}\,\mathrm{d}x - \int\limits_{0}^{\infty}R(x)\,\mathrm{d}x - i\mu w - rac{\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}}{2}w^{2}
ight)
ight]$$

- Can use any continuous source count model
- Node model
 - Fixed position in Log(S)
 - Fit amplitude of node in Log(dN/dS)
 - Interpolate between nodes
 - Set of connected power-laws



P(D) Source Count -Data

- JVLA
 - Lockman "Owen" Hole North
 - 3 GHz single pointing
 - C configuration
 - Rms: 1.02 µJy/beam
 - Beam: 8 arcsec
 - Time: 50 hours







P(D) Source Count - Temperatures



• $T_{b} = 13 - 16 \text{ mK} \text{ at } 3 \text{ GHz}$

• $T_{b} = 105 - 120 \text{ mK}$ at 1.4 GHz



Dunlap Institute for
 Astronomy & Astrophysics
 UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Confusion Diffuse Emission - Data

- Can try to statistically detect presence of sources too faint or diffuse to be detected normally
- Subtract point sources or use discrete source count model
- Example: ATCA
 - ELAIS S1
 - 7 pointing mosaic
 - 1.7 GHz
 - 2.5' x 1' beam
 - RMS ~ 50 μ Jy
 - Subtraction limit ~150 µJy
- Use ATLAS point source models to subtract bright sources and JVLA discrete count for unsubtracted sources





Confusion Diffuse Emission - Data

- Can try to statistically detect presence of sources too faint or diffuse to be detected normally
- Subtract point sources or use discrete source count model
- Example: ATCA
 - ELAIS S1
 - 7 pointing mosaic
 - 1.7 GHz
 - 2.5' x 1' beam
 - RMS ~ 50 μ Jy
 - Subtraction limit ~150 µJy
- Use ATLAS point source models to subtract bright sources and JVLA discrete count for unsubtracted sources



- Can try to statistically detect presence of sources too faint or diffuse to be detected normally
- Subtract point sources or use discrete source count model
- Example: ATCA
 - ELAIS S1
 - 7 pointing mosaic
 - 1.7 GHz

DUNLAP INSTITUTE

for ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS

- 2.5' x 1' beam
- RMS ~ 50 µJy
- Subtraction limit ~150 µJy
- Use ATLAS point source models to subtract bright sources and JVLA discrete count for unsubtracted sources





DUNLAP INSTITUTE for ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS Dunlap Institute for
 Astronomy & Astrophysics
 UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

- Dark matter particles in halos synchrotron emission from annihilation/decay
- Fornengo et. al 2011 model:
 - particle mass of 10 GeV assuming decay into leptons
 - Gives predicted source count







- Dark matter particles in halos synchrotron emission from annihilation/decay
- Fornengo et. al 2011 model:
 - particle mass of 10 GeV assuming decay into leptons
 - Gives predicted source count
- Both produce inconsistent fits to the data

Radio emission

(synchrotron)

Counts much too high at bright flux densities









Simulated model from Zandanel • et al 2014 of cluster haloes 10²) $dN/dS (\mathrm{sr}^{-1} \mathrm{Jy})$ NVSS survey (Giovannini et al. 1999) + 10^{1} $\log_{10} dn/dL_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} [h_{70}^5 \text{ Mpc}^{-3} (10^{33} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1})^{-1}]$ **Simulated** -2 10⁰ points S^2_2 10⁻¹ Discrete counts Zandanel et al. 2014 Halo counts 10^{-2} 10 10⁰ 10^{2} 10^{3} 10^{1} 10^{4} $S_{\rm 1.75~GHz}~(\mu~{\rm Jy})$ $D_T (\mathrm{mK})_{20}$ -6 10 **Real points** -1030 40 50 -20 0 Zandanel et al. 2014 Halo counts Mosaic P(D) 10⁻³ $P(D) \ (\mu \mathrm{Jy} \ \mathrm{beam}^{-1})^{-1}$ -8 – Comparison for 10% loud + This Work + From Observed $L_{1.4 \text{ GHz}}$ - $L_{X, \text{ bol}}$ + From Observed $L_{1.4 \text{ GHz}}$ - $Y_{SZ, 500}$ H -10 27 29 31 32 33 28 30 $\log_{10} L_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} [h_{70}^{-2} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}]$ 10^{-6} / -500 **DUNLAP INSTITUTE** 500 1000 0

 10^{-2}

 10^{-3}

10⁻⁴

 $D (\mu \text{ Jy beam}^{-1})$

×.

for ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS

Confusion Diffuse Emission

Advantages:

- Detection of emission below confusion level
- Possible to constrain models of halos or dark matter

Disadvantages / Caveats:

- Assumes emission smaller than (or roughly equal to) the beam size
- Requires point source subtraction and/or model for un-subtracted point sources
- Need to know beam shape(s) and noise properties well

Future work / Continuations:

- Repeat with different / larger area
- Compare results for regions with and without known diffuse emission
- Different (lower) frequency or multi-frequency test



Diffuse Emission Filaments/Cosmic Web





The Synchrotron Cosmic Web

- Intergalactic shocks from infall into and along filaments accelerate electrons and amplify magnetic fields → producing synchrotron emission
 (Keshet et al. 2004; Hoeft & Brüggen 2007; Battaglia et al. 2009; Araya-Melo et al. 2012, Vazza et al., 2015)
- Faint synchrotron radiation should trace large-scale structure and cosmic filaments
- Signal should be strongest on scales ~ 10' to 1° at frequencies ~100 MHz



MHD simulation of magnetised large-scale structure (Brüggen et al. 2005) DUNLAP INSTITUTE for ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS



Injected fields vs primordial fields (Donnert, Dolag et al. 2008)



How can we detect it?

• Direct imaging

(Bagchi et al. 2002; Wilcots 2004; Vazza et al. 2014)

- Statistical methods:
 - Cross Correlation
 (Brown et al. 2010, 2011)
 - Stacking
- Polarisation:
 - Faraday rotation from background AGN
 - Dispersion from fast radio bursts
 - Also stacking and cross correlation





Vazza et al., 2015, 2016 MHD simulations – 14 sq deg

-6

-8

-10

-12

-14

-16

-18

-20

Diffuse Synchrotron



Thermal gas





 Galaxy number density → traces thermal baryon distribution → should correlate with diffuse synchrotron



 Galaxy number density → traces thermal baryon distribution → should correlate with diffuse synchrotron



2MASS Galaxy Distribution coded by redshift (photo credit :Thomas Jarrett (IPAC/Caltech)

Simulated radio synchrotron (credit: Klaus Dolag)



- Galaxy number density → traces thermal baryon distribution → should correlate with diffuse synchrotron
- How correlated as a function of distance or angular scale?
 - Unknown
- How correlated?
 - Unknown
- Cross correlation function: how correlated as a function of angular distance image plane
- Reasons for a **positive** correlation:
 - AGN (core)
 - Starbursts and disk emission
 - AGN (WAT and NAT associated with clusters)
 - Cluster halos
 - Cluster relics
 - Synchrotron cosmic web
- Reasons for a negative correlation:
 - Galactic extinction (galaxy number counts down, synchrotron up)

Increasing angular scale

Dunlap Institute for
 Astronomy & Astrophysics
 UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

The MWA:

- Frequency range: 80 300 MHZ
- 2048 dual polarization dipoles
- Number of antenna tiles: 128
- Number of baselines: 8128
- Approximate collecting area: 2000 sq. meters
- Field of view: 15 50 deg. (200 2500 sq. deg.)
- Instantaneous bandwidth: 30.72 MHz
- Spectral resolution: 40 kHz
- Temporal resolution: 0.5 seconds
- Polarization: I, Q, U, V





Photo credit: Natasha Hurley-Walker



Good sensitivity to large angular scales, low frequency, large field of view



Cross Correlation with MWA - Radio

- Field: EoR0 RA=0 Dec= -27
- υ = 180 MHz
- Beam 2.3' 2.9'
- σ_n= 0.6 0.96 mJy beam⁻¹
- $\sigma_c = 4.4 9.5 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$
- Subtraction limit ~ 50 mJy



Point source sub



Cross Correlation with MWA - 2MASS Galaxy Density





)NTO

Cross Correlation with MWA - WISE Number Density



$$CCF(xshift, yshift) = \frac{1}{n}\sum(R_{i,j} - \bar{R})(G_{i,j} - \bar{G})$$











Cross Correlation with MWA – Emission Upper Limits



FORONTO

Cross Correlation with MWA – Emission Upper Limits



Cross Correlation with MWA – Magnetic Field Limits





Cross Correlation with MWA – Magnetic Field Limits



for ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS



Cross Correlation with MWA – Magnetic Field Limits



Cross Correlation S-PASS

- Single Dish 2.3 GHz All Sky
- Cross correlate with MHD simulation
 - Brown et al., 2017





DUNLAP INSTITUTE for ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS Dunlap Institute for
 Astronomy & Astrophysics
 UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO



for ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS

Cross Correlation S-PASS

- Single Dish 2.3 GHz All Sky
- Cross correlate with MHD simulation
 - Brown et al., 2017

Flux upper limit: 0.16 mJy arcmin⁻²

Magnetic field upper limit: 0.13 µG

Primordial Magnetic Field Limit: 1.0 nG

Cross Correlation

Advantages:

Can enhance signals hidden in the noise

Disadvantages / Caveats:

- Need models to interpret results physically
- Need to know (dirty) beam shape well
- Requires point source subtraction and/or model for un-subtracted sources
- Galactic emission can interfere over large areas

Future work / Continuations:

- Repeat with different area / frequency / resolution / sky coverage
- Multi-frequency approach
- Other similar tests: Cross power correlation, wavelet covariance

DUNLAP INSTITUTE for ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS Dunlap Institute for Astronomy & Astrophysics UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

- 2D P(D) analysis
 - Fit 2D source count to 2D histogram
 - Can be two frequencies, two resolutions, total and polarised intensity

- 2D P(D) analysis
 - Fit 2D source count to 2D histogram
 - Can be two frequencies, two resolutions, total and polarised intensity

- 2D P(D) analysis
- 2D Angular power spectrum & P(D) in the visibility plane

👽 UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

for ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS

- 2D P(D) analysis
- 2D Angular power spectrum & P(D) in the visibility plane
- Stacking (diffuse emission/ filaments, e.g. Rudnick, Vazza, Farnes)

- 2D P(D) analysis ٠
- 2D Angular power spectrum & P(D) in the visibility plane ٠
- Stacking (diffuse emission/ filaments, e.g. Rudnick, Vazza, Farnes) ullet
- Rotation measure cross correlation (cosmic web, Lee, Amaral, Gaensler et al) ٠

galaxy redshift catalog

Taylor et al. (2009) RM catalogue

DUNLAP INSTITUTE for ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS Dunlap Institute for Astronomy & Astrophysics 56 NIVERSITY OF TORONTO

- 2D P(D) analysis
- 2D Angular power spectrum & P(D) in the visibility plane
- Stacking (diffuse emission/ filaments, e.g. Rudnick, Vazza, Farnes)
- Rotation measure cross correlation (cosmic web, Lee, Amaral, Gaensler et al)
- Cross power spectrum (cosmic web)
- Wavelet covariance (cosmic web)
- Combinations, e.g. confusion analysis + cross correlation

At ~1.4 GHz

Total Background Temperature – X-ray limits

- Radio emission related to X-ray emission
 - Low energy CMB photons up-scatter from electrons giving off synchrotron emission

$$\frac{L_{\rm IC}}{L_{\rm sync}} = \frac{U_0(1+z)^4}{U_{\rm B}}$$

 Can use measurements of X-ray background to constrain radio

- Assume ultra-relativistic
 Y = 10⁴
- Use median redshift
 - z = 0.3
- For cosmic web use flux and magnetic field limits
- For diffuse confusion limit use a range for B of
 - 0.1 < B [µG] < 6

At ~1.4 GHz

Dunlap Institute for
 Astronomy & Astrophysics
 UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

- Assume ultra-relativistic
 Y = 10⁴
- Use median redshift
 - z = 0.3
- For cosmic web use flux and magnetic field limits
- For diffuse confusion limit use a range for B of
 - 0.1 < B [µG] < 6

Summary & Conclusions

- Extragalactic CRB temperature at 1.4 GHz ~ 120mK upper limit
 - Predominantly from individual sources
 - Up to ~10% from diffuse emission (2-3% of Arcade value) upper limit of ~ 5-15 mK
- Confusion can be a hindrance or a tool
 - Can use it to get constraints on counts below confusion and instrumental noise limits
 - Excess diffuse emission can be detected via confusion analysis
 - Which can be used to constrain models of faint radio haloes/relics or dark matter
- Cross correlation technique yields upper limits on IGM of ~0.5 microG
 - Need more/better models to interpret results
- Statistical techniques can be powerful tools for reaching below the noise
- Understanding current and developing new techniques crucial for fully utilizing new large surveys